

Kaon-Baryon Couplings and the Goldberger-Treiman Relation in $SU(3) \times SU(3)$

N. F. Nasrallah
Faculty of Science, Lebanese University
Tripoli, Lebanon
nsrallh@ul.edu.lb

PACS numbers: 11.40Ha, 11.55Fv, 11.55Hx

Abstract

The coupling constants $G_{KN\Lambda}$ and $G_{KN\Sigma}$ are obtained from the Goldberger-Treiman relation in the strange channel with chiral symmetry breaking taken into account. The results, $G_{KN\Lambda} = -12.3 \pm 1.2$ and $G_{KN\Sigma} = 5.5 \pm .5$ come close to the $SU(3)$ values.

The Kaon-Baryon coupling constants $G_{KN\Lambda}$ and $G_{KN\Sigma}$ are essential ingredients in the study of Kaon-Nucleon scattering or of the strangeness content of the nucleon.

The Goldberger-Treiman relation (GTR) [1] relates the Meson-Nucleon coupling constants to the axial-vector coupling constant in β -decay.

In the non-strange channel e.g., the GTR reads

$$m_N g_A(0) = f_\pi G_{\pi N} \quad (1)$$

where m_N is the nucleon mass, $g_A(0)$ is the axial-vector coupling constant in nucleon β -decay at vanishing momentum transfer, f_π is the π decay constant and $G_{\pi N}$ is the $\pi - N$ coupling constant.

The corresponding relations in the strange channel are

$$\sqrt{2} f_K G_{KNY} = (m_N + m_Y) g_A^Y(0) \quad (2)$$

where $Y = \Lambda, \Sigma$.

The G_{KNY} could of course be obtained from eq. (2) because the $g_A^Y(0)$ are measured. Eq. (2) however involves an extrapolation in momentum transfer squared from 0 to m_K^2 which is not as small quantity on the hadronic scale. In other words explicit chiral symmetry breaking by the quark masses lead to corrections to the GTR, the Goldberger-Treiman discrepancies (GTD) which are small in the strangeness conserving case [2] but which are not so in the strangeness changing one because $m_s \gg m_{u,d}$ or alternatively $m_K^2 \gg m_\pi^2$.

It is therefore desirable to evaluate the corrections introduced by the extrapolation to the GTR in the strange channel.

The GTD's in the strangeness changing case are defined by

$$\Delta^Y = 1 - \frac{(m_N + m_Y)g_A^Y(0)}{\sqrt{2}f_K G_{KNY}} \quad (3)$$

The evaluation of the Kaon-Nucleon coupling constants will yield the GTD's.

Experimentally, the determination of the coupling constants still involves uncertainties [3] but they are thought not to differ too much from their $SU(3)$ values

$$G_{KN\Lambda} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}(3 - 2\alpha)G_{\pi N} \simeq -13.05, \quad G_{KN\Sigma} = (2\alpha - 1)G_{\pi N} \simeq 3.50 \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha = D/(D + F) = .635$ is the fraction of D -type coupling.

The analysis of [3] e.g. yields

$$G_{KN\Lambda} = -13.5, \quad G_{KN\Sigma} = 4.25 \quad (5)$$

Direct evaluation of G_{KNY} by use of QCD sum rules [4] yield values considerably smaller than the ones shown above.

Evaluation of the GTD's using heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory at the one loop level has also been attempted [5] resulting in values much smaller than one would expect from eq. (4).

It is the purpose of the present work to obtain the G_{KNY} from the GTR with chiral symmetry breaking taken into account.

We start from the matrix element

$$\langle P | \partial_\mu A_\mu^{K^+} | Y \rangle = \Pi(q^2) \bar{P} i \gamma_5 Y \quad (6)$$

q denoting the momentum transfer between the baryons. We have

$$\Pi(0) = (m_P + m_Y)g_A^Y(0) \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, the analytic properties of $\Pi(t = q^2)$ in the complex t -plane are known: It has a pole at $t = m_K^2$ and a cut along the positive t -axis starting at $t_{th} = (m_K + 2m_\pi)^2$:

$$\Pi(t) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}f_K m_K^2 G_{KNY}}{(t - m_K^2)} + \text{non-pole terms} \quad (8)$$

Consider now a closed contour c in the complex t -plane consisting of a circle of large radius R ($R \sim 4 - 5 \text{ GeV}^2$) and two straight lines just above and below the cut and parallel to it extending from threshold to R and the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{dt}{t} \Pi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{th}^R \frac{dt}{t} \text{Disc} \Pi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dt}{t} \Pi(t) \quad (9)$$

A straightforward application of the residue theorem yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{dt}{t} \Pi(t) = \Pi(0) - \sqrt{2} f_K G_{PKY} \quad (10)$$

If the integrals appearing in eq. (9) were negligible, eqs. (7) and (10) would yield the GTR. These integrals are however not expected to be small.

The first integral on the r.h.s. of eq. (9), running along the cut, represents the contribution of the 0^- strange continuum with quantum numbers of the K -meson and provides the main part of the GTD. In the second integral, along the circle, $\Pi^{QCD}(t)$ can be substituted for $\Pi(t)$, an approximation which is expected to be good except possibly for a small region in the vicinity of the positive t -axis.

In order to overcome our lack of knowledge of $Disc\Pi(t)$ we consider the modified integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c dt \left(\frac{1}{t} - a_0 - a_1 t \right) \Pi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{th}^R dt \left(\frac{1}{t} - a_0 - a_1 t \right) Disc\Pi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt \left(\frac{1}{t} - a_0 - a_1 t \right) \Pi^{QCD}(t) \quad (11)$$

With a_0 and a_1 so far arbitrary constants. The residue theorem yields now

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c dt \left(\frac{1}{t} - a_0 - a_1 t \right) \Pi(t) = \Pi(0) - \sqrt{2} f_K G_{PKY} (1 - a_0 m_K^2 - a_1 m_K^4) \quad (12)$$

The main contribution to the integral over the cut arises from the interval $I : 1.5 GeV^2 \lesssim t \lesssim 3.5 GeV^2$ which includes the resonances (or resonance candidates) $K(1460)$ and $K(1830)$. The constants a_0 and a_1 are now chosen so as to annihilate the kernel $(\frac{1}{t} - a_0 - a_1 t)$ at $t = 1.46^2 GeV^2$ and at $t = 1.83^2 GeV^2$, i.e.

$$a_0 = .77 GeV^{-2}, \quad a_1 = -.14 GeV^{-4} \quad (13)$$

With this choice the integrand is reduced to only a few percent of its initial value over the interval I and the integral over the cut becomes negligible. So we have now

$$\Pi(0) - \sqrt{2} f_K G_{PKY} (1 - a_0 m_K^2 - a_1 m_K^4) \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dt \left(\frac{1}{t} - a_0 - a_1 t \right) \Pi^{QCD}(t) \quad (14)$$

It appears from the equation above that chiral symmetry breaking manifests itself in the presence of the r.h.s. as well as in the deviation of the factor $(1 - a_0 m_K^2 - a_1 m_K^4)$ from unity. In order to proceed further a knowledge of $\Pi^{QCD}(t)$ is required.

This knowledge can be obtained from a large number of QCD sum-rule studies [4] of the three-point function

$$\Gamma(s = p^2, t = q^2) = \int \int dx dy e^{-ipx} e^{iqy} \langle 0 | T \Psi^P(x) \partial_\mu A_\mu(y) \Psi^Y(0) | 0 \rangle \quad (15)$$

Where $\Psi^{P,Y}$ are baryonic currents. We follow the notation of Bracco et al. and set $p^2 = p'^2 = s$.

$$\Gamma(s, t) = F(s, t) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q_\mu p'_\nu + \text{other tensor structures} \quad (16)$$

Furthermore ,the residue at the double baryonic pole of Γ is related to $\Pi(t)$, i.e.

$$\Gamma(s, t) = (\frac{\lambda_N \lambda_Y \Pi(t)}{(s - m_N^2)(s - m_Y^2)} + \dots) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 p'_\mu q_\nu + \text{other tensor structures} \quad (17)$$

The $\lambda_{N,Y}$ denoting the couplings of the baryonic currents to the corresponding baryons.

$\Pi^{QCD}(t)$ is obtained from the above expressions by calculating $F^{QCD}(s, t)$, extrapolating to the baryon mass-shells by use of the Borel transform in the variable s and identifying corresponding terms in eqs. (16) and (17). This gives

$$\Pi_Y^{QCD}(t) = \frac{c_1^Y}{t} + \frac{c_2^Y}{t^2} + \dots \quad (18)$$

with

$$c_1^Y = \frac{c_Y}{\lambda_N \lambda_Y} \frac{(m_Y^2 - m_P^2)}{(e^{-\frac{m_N^2}{M^2}} - e^{-\frac{m_Y^2}{M^2}})} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_\Lambda &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} m_s (\frac{4}{3} \langle (\bar{q}q)^2 \rangle + \frac{4}{3} \langle (\bar{q}q \bar{s}s) \rangle) \\ c_\Sigma &= O(m_s^2) \simeq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

M^2 is the Borel mass parameter obtained in [4], as well as other threshold parameters , by stability considerations. If we further use the factorization approximation together with the estimates $\langle \bar{s}s \rangle / \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \simeq .50$, $\langle m_s \bar{s}s \rangle \simeq -1.12 * 10^{-3} MeV^4$ [6] we obtain

$$c_1^\Lambda \simeq .30 GeV^3 \quad , \quad c_1^\Sigma \simeq 0 \quad (21)$$

We then have

$$\Pi^Y(0) - \sqrt{2} f_K G_{KNY} (1 - a_0 m_K^2 - a_1 m_K^4) = a_0 c_1^Y + a_1 c_2^Y \quad (22)$$

c_2^Y is not available, it will be estimated and taken to represent the error:

$$c_2^Y \sim \pm m^2 c_1^Y \quad (23)$$

with m^2 a typical hadronic mass, $m^2 = 1 GeV^2$ say. Thus

$$G_{KNY} = \frac{(m_N + m_Y) g_A^Y(0) - a_0 c_1^Y - a_1 c_2^Y}{\sqrt{2} f_K (1 - a_0 m_K^2 - a_1 m_K^4)} \quad (24)$$

Numerically, the error, represented by the last term in the numerator of the equation above, amounts to about 2.5% of the total. We shall however enlarge it to 10% in order to account for the uncertainties which arise from the choice of the

mass and threshold parameters, factorisation, etc. in the evaluation of $\Pi^{QCD}(t)$. The values obtained for the coupling constants are thus, with $g_A^\Lambda(0) = -.72$ and $g_A^\Sigma(0) = .34$

$$G_{KN\Lambda} = -12.3 \pm 1.2 \quad , \quad G_{KN\Sigma} = 5.5 \pm .5 \quad (25)$$

These values do not differ much from the $SU(3)$ ones appearing in eq. (4). The GTD's are large, as expected

$$\Delta^\Lambda = .25 \quad , \quad \Delta^\Sigma = .18 \quad (26)$$

In conclusion we have obtained the coupling constants G_{KNY} from the GTR. These come close to their $SU(3)$ values. Chiral symmetry breaking has been taken into account, it is quite large, as shown by the values of the GTD's.

References

- [1] M.L. Goldberger and S.B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. **110** (1958) 1178.; For a review see C.A. Dominguez, Riv. Nuovo Cimento **8**(1985) 1 and references therein.
- [2] B. Holstein, nucl-th/9806036.; N.F. Nasrallah, Phys. Rev. **D62**(2000) 036006; D.V. Bugg and M.D. Scadron, hep-ph/0312346.
- [3] H. Haberzettl, C. Bennhold, T. Mart and T. Feuster, nucl-th/9804051.
- [4] S. Choe, M. Cheoun and S.H. Lee, Phys. Rev. **C53** (1996) 1363; S. Choe, Phys. Rev. **C57** (1998) 2061 and nucl-th/0003024; M.E. Bracco, F.S. Navarra and M. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. **B454** (1999) 346; T.M. Aliev and M. Savci hep-ph/9902466.
- [5] J.L. Goity, R. Lewis, M. Schvellinger and L. Zhang, Phys. Lett. **B454** (1999) 115
- [6] C.A. Dominguez, A. Ramlakan and K. Schilcher, hep-ph/0104262.